Scoring critera	Scoring guidance		0	1-2	3-4	5-6
Support impact of development	Provide evidence showing to what extent the infrastructure project will support recent or proposed development in the area. This should include reference to any relevant allocations or policies in the Tandridge's Local Development Plan (e.g. Local Plan, Neighbourhood Plans etc.) and any relevant planning applications.		A score of 0 should be given where no detail is provided	A score of 1-2 should be given where there is minimal detail provided and no supporting evidence	A score of 3-4 should be given where there is substantial detail provided and minimal supporting evidence	A score of 5-6 should be given where there is substantial detail and this is backed up with supporting evidence
Enables economic growth and regeneration	Evidence to outline any economic benefits of the scheme e.g. job opportunities, new business creation etc.		A score of 0 should be given where no detail is provided	A score of 1-2 should be given where there is minimal detail provided and no supporting evidence	A score of 3-4 should be given where there is substantial detail provided and minimal supporting evidence	A score of 5-6 should be given where there is substantial detail and this is backed up with supporting evidence
Flood defence provisions	Provide details of any flood protection to homes, commercial space/infrastructure and roads resulting from the project, and/or show how the project would support future development.		A score of 0 should be given where no detail is provided	A score of 1-2 should be given where there is minimal detail provided and no supporting evidence	A score of 3-4 should be given where there is substantial detail provided and minimal supporting evidence	A score of 5-6 should be given where there is substantial detail and this is backed up with supporting evidence
Improves health and wellbeing	Show how the project would support development through increasing capacity, breadth and/or quality to local health services, and/or identify any other benefits to health and welling for communities resulting from the project.		A score of 0 should be given where no detail is provided	A score of 1-2 should be given where there is minimal detail provided and no supporting evidence	A score of 3-4 should be given where there is substantial detail provided and minimal supporting evidence	A score of 5-6 should be given where there is substantial detail and this is backed up with supporting evidence
Improves provision of education	Show how the project would support development through increasing capacity, breadth and/or quality to local education facilities, and/or identify any other benefits to education for communities resulting from the project.		A score of 0 should be given where no detail is provided	A score of 1-2 should be given where there is minimal detail provided and no supporting evidence	A score of 3-4 should be given where there is substantial detail provided and minimal supporting evidence	A score of 5-6 should be given where there is substantial detail and this is backed up with supporting evidence
Improves transportation and/or road safety	Show how the project would support development through increasing capacity and safety of the road network, public transport and/or other forms of transportation.		A score of 0 should be given where no detail is provided	A score of 1-2 should be given where there is minimal detail provided and no supporting evidence	A score of 3-4 should be given where there is substantial detail provided and minimal supporting evidence	A score of 5-6 should be given where there is substantial detail and this is backed up with supporting evidence
Improves provision of amenity	Show how the project would support development through increasing capacity, breadth and/or quality to local amenity provision, including play areas, open spaces, parks and green spaces, cultural and sports facilities.		A score of 0 should be given where no detail is provided	A score of 1-2 should be given where there is minimal detail provided and no supporting evidence	A score of 3-4 should be given where there is substantial detail provided and minimal supporting evidence	A score of 5-6 should be given where there is substantial detail and this is backed up with supporting evidence
Supports climate emergency and environmental measures	Evidence of the environmental benefits of the scheme.		A score of 0 should be given where no detail is provided	A score of 1-2 should be given where there is minimal detail provided and no supporting evidence	A score of 3-4 should be given where there is substantial detail provided and minimal supporting evidence	A score of 5-6 should be given where there is substantial detail and this is backed up with supporting evidence
Match funding	Provide details of other funding sources.	**Match Funding %**	0% = a score of 0	1-15% = a score of 1 16-30% = a score of 2	31-45% = a score of 46-60% = a score of 4	61-75% = a score of 5 76%+ = a score of 6
Value for money	Provide evidence to show the need for CIL funding; the costs of the project are reasonable; the level of match funding and wider leverage /investment the project will deliver; provide an assessment against any core outcomes e.g. cost per job/home (against national benchmarks); and a cost benefit analysis.		A score of 0 should be given where no detail is provided	A score of 1-2 should be given where there is minimal detail provided and no supporting evidence	A score of 3-4 should be given where there is substantial detail provided and minimal supporting evidence	A score of 5-6 should be given where there is substantial detail and this is backed up with supporting evidence